AD	,		

AWARD NUMBER: W81XWH-15-C-0179

**TITLE:** "USASOC Injury Prevention/Performance Optimization Musculoskeletal Screening Initiative"

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Kim Beals

**RECIPIENT:** Dr. Christie Vu

**REPORT DATE:** October 2016

**TYPE OF REPORT:** Annual

**PREPARED FOR:** U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

**DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A:** Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED
10-29-2016	Annual	30 Sep 2015 - 29 Sep 2016
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
"USASOC Injury Prevention/	Performance Optimization	W81XWH-15-C-0179
Musculoskeletal Screening	Initiative"	5b. GRANT NUMBER
		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S): Kim Beals, Erin Simonson	Pletcher, Melessa Woehbler, Andy	5d. PROJECT NUMBER
SIMONSON		5e. TASK NUMBER
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME( University of Pittsburgh W Center, 3860 South Water S Pittsburgh PA 15203 USASOC 1105 El Salvador St Building E-3323 Fort Bragg 28310	arrior Human Performance Research	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY U.S. Army Medical Research Fort Detrick, Maryland 217	Materiel Command	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
zozo zoozzon, marjiama zir		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

#### 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

#### 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

#### 14. ABSTRACT

One of the most important determinants of military readiness for USASOC is the health and well-being of its personnel as many suffer a multitude of preventable musculoskeletal injuries due to the daily rigors of physical training and preparation for tactical operations. Physical training remains the cornerstone of the weapons platform of USASOC personnel, yet a significant number of injuries are sustained during Command instructed physical training. The Tactical Human Optimization, Rapid Rehabilitation, and Reconditioning (THOR3) training program was established to improve functional performance and combat effectiveness, reduce lost manpower, and optimize recovery and reconditioning between deployments. As such, the purpose of this study is to measure the effectiveness of the THOR3 human performance training program to improve upon biomechanical, musculoskeletal, physiological, performance, tactical and injury mitigating characteristics and to reduce unintentional musculoskeletal injuries.

15. SUBJECT TERMS THOR3, Musculoskeletal injury prevention, Performance Optimization						
16. SECURITY CLAS	SIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC	
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	SAR	19	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)	

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No.
1.	Introduction	5
2.	Keywords	5
3.	Accomplishments	5
4.	Impact	9
5.	Changes/Problems	10
6.	Products	12
7.	Participants & Other Collaborating Organizations	15
8.	Special Reporting Requirements	18
9.	Appendices	19

#### 1. INTRODUCTION:

One of the most important determinants of military readiness for USASOC is the health and well-being of its personnel as many suffer a multitude of preventable musculoskeletal injuries due to the daily rigors of physical training and preparation for tactical operations. Physical training remains the cornerstone of the weapons platform of USASOC personnel, yet a significant number of injuries are sustained during Command instructed physical training. The Tactical Human Optimization, Rapid Rehabilitation, and Reconditioning (THOR3) training program was established to improve functional performance and combat effectiveness, reduce lost manpower, and optimize recovery and reconditioning between deployments. As such, the purpose of this study is to measure the effectiveness of the THOR3 human performance training program to improve upon biomechanical, musculoskeletal, physiological, performance, tactical and injury mitigating characteristics and to reduce unintentional musculoskeletal injuries.

#### 2. KEYWORDS:

Injury prevention; Musculoskeletal Injury; Performance Optimization; USASOC, THOR3

#### 3. ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

What were the major goals of the project?

#### **Major Task 1: Administrative & Regulatory**

- Milestone: University of Pittsburgh IRB Approval. Approved May 23, 2016. 100% Complete
- Milestone: Set up Data Use Agreement. UPitt has completed the DUA application and it is being sent to MRMC, SOCOM and USAOC POC's.
- Milestone: HRPO Approval. Approved July 28, 2016, 100% Complete

## Major Task 2: Coordinate Logistics for the Research Trials

- Milestone: Research staff trained, 3 months. 2 Research staff trained and relocated to Fort Bragg. Hired 3<sup>rd</sup> person, however she has not relocated yet due to hold on the research account by USMRAA. 70% Complete
- Milestone: Pitt Remote Laboratory setup, 3 months. 100% Complete

# Major Task 3: Subject Recruitment for the Research Trials

- Milestone: Recruit subjects for participation Phase 3 Aim THOR3 Trial, 4-12 months. 0% Complete, awaiting USAMRMC/MRAA Revised SOW and Budget Approval
- Milestone: Recruit subjects for participation Phase 4 Aim 1 THOR3 USASOC wide Trial, 7-18 months. 0% Complete, awaiting USAMRMC/MRAA Revised SOW and Budget Approval
- Milestone: Recruit subjects for participation Phase 4 Aim 2 THOR3 Injury Mitigation Trial, 7-18 months. 0% Complete, awaiting USAMRMC/MRAA Revised SOW and Budget Approval

# Major Task 4: Phase 3 Aim 1: Data Collection

- Milestone: Completion of baseline measures, 9-15 months. 0% Complete, awaiting USAMRMC/MRAA Revised SOW and Budget Approval
- Milestone: Implement experimental protocol, 9-15 months. 0% Complete, awaiting USAMRMC/MRAA Revised SOW and Budget Approval
- Milestone: Completion of Clinical Trial Data Collection, 15-18 months

# Major Task 5: Phase 4 Aim 1 & 2: USASOC Wide Injury & Injury Mitigation Data Collection

- Milestone: Completion of baseline measures, 7-18 months. 0% Complete, awaiting USAMRMC/MRAA Revised SOW and Budget Approval
- Milestone: Implement experimental protocol, 7-18 months. 0% Complete, awaiting USAMRMC/MRAA Revised SOW and Budget Approval
- Milestone: Completion of USASOC Wide & Injury Mitigation Data Collection, 7-18 months. 0% Complete, awaiting USAMRMC/MRAA Revised SOW and Budget Approval

### Major Task 6: Data Analysis for Research Aims 3 & 4

- Final Report provided to USASOC Command, 19-24 months
- Presentation of data at National Annual Conferences, 19-24 months
- Minimum of 5 manuscripts published in high impact journals, 19-24 months

What was accomplished under these goals?

#### Major Task 1: Administrative & Regulatory

- a) Attended In-Progress Review at Fort Detrick on June 7 and 8<sup>th</sup>. As a result of this review, JPC-5 and CDMRP requested additional information regarding specifics of the proposed statement of work.
  - i) After UPitt, USASOC, MRMC and SOCOM had several telephone meetings to resolve the concerns, a formal written response (including a revised statement of work and memorandum addressing the specific concerns) was submitted to the contracting officer Lance Nowell on June 21, 2016. We are waiting for a response back from USAMRMC or USAMRAA.
  - ii) July 13, 2016 Shannon Hukriede, contracting officer at Pitt called Mr. Lance Nowell regarding the status our revised statement of work submitted June 21, 2016.
  - iii) July 13, 2016 UPitt submitted a letter to Mr. Lance Nowell notifying him of University of Kentucky's decision to end the subcontract with UPitt. The Univ of Pitt requested approval to perform the subcontracted work in-house.
  - iv) July 18, 2016 Mr. Lance Nowell requested UPitt submit a revised budget regarding the change in personnel due to the Univ of Kentucky ending their subcontract.
  - v) July 19, 2016 Mr. Lance Nowell requested a revised statement of work due to the UK change.
  - vi) July 21, 2016 Mr. Ray Bear (3SFG THOR3) notified UPitt of policy change by new Commander at 3SFG mandating ORT for all Operators, and difficulty getting subjects for the study cohorts in Phase 3 as a result of this change.
  - vii) July 22, 2016 UPitt submitted a revised budget and budget justification and revised SOW.
  - viii) August 2, 2016 Shannon Hukriede, contracting officer at Pitt, sent Mr. Nowell an email regarding the status of our revised budget and SOW submitted July 22, 2016.
  - ix) Sept 30, 2016 Shannon Hukriede, contracting officer at Pitt, sent Mr. Nowell an email regarding the status of our revised budget and SOW submitted July 22, 2016.
  - x) October 5, 2016 Mr. Lance Nowell requested additional information regarding specific outcome measures for each of the 4 research aims.
  - xi) October 11, 2016 UPitt provided the requested information.
  - xii) UPitt has provided all requested information on and in most instances in advance of the deadlines. We are still waiting for a response from USAMRAA regarding approval to move forward and initiate work on the Phase 3 and 4 research aims
- b) IRB & DoD Regulatory Approvals
  - i) University of Pittsburgh IRB approved May 23, 2016
  - ii) HRPO USAMRMC approved on July 28, 2016.
- c) A data use agreement is being sent to contracting partners (as per below POCs).

i) USAMRMC: Scientific POC: Dr. Richard Shoge
 ii) SOCOM: Scientific POC: Dr. Travis Harvey
 iii) USASOC: Scientific POC: COL Shawn Kane
 iv) UPitt: Scientific POC: Dr. Kim Beals
 Legal POC: Jeremiah Kelly
 Legal POC: Travis Harvey
 Legal POC: COL Shawn Kane
 Legal POC: Shawn Kane

## Major Task 2: Coordinate Logistics for the Research Trials

- a) We have identified an individual for the third remote faculty position. We will make a formal job offer once we receive word from USAMRAA and/or USAMRMC we are cleared to move forward. Then we will make arrangements to relocate her.
  - (1) The laboratory is fully operational and awaiting USAMRMC administrative approval before recruiting and testing begins.
  - (2) The UPITT Military Epidemiology Database has been revised and updated to include the proposed outcome variables associated with the research trials (Phase 3 and Phase 4). The need to modify UPittMed will be reevaluated once the SOW is approved.
  - (3) We have developed data collection sheets for the Operator Readiness Assessment, SOCOM Assessment and Body Composition Assessments (ISAK). The need to modify these data collection tools will be reevaluated once the SOW is approved.
  - (4) An Operator Report to provide to subjects with their individual results compared to benchmarks was developed.
  - (5) We have worked with the Public Health Command on the proposed research methods and survey tools for Phase 3 and 4 Aims 1 and 2.

Major Tasks 3-6: We have not initiated work on an of these tasks

What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?	
UPitt remote faculty have worked with Ray Bear, Human Performance Coordinator for 3 <sup>rd</sup> Special Forces Group, to learn how to administer the Operator Readiness Test and SOCOM	
Assessment.	
How were the results disseminated to communities of interest?	
Nothing to report	
Trouming to report	

- 1. Administrative & Regulatory
  - a. Pending approval of revised statement of work and budget, modify IRB and HRPO documents and submit for approval.
  - b. Complete the Data Use Agreement
- 2. Relocate the final remote faculty position.
- 3. Coordinate Logistics for all 3 Research Trials (Phase 3 Aim 1 and Phase 4 Aim 1 & 2)
  - a. Identify which of the research aims require Pitt IRB modification, submit the modifications and await approval. Submit modifications to USAMRAA HRPO and await approval.
  - b. Plan a trip to USASOC to brief remote laboratory, USASOC personnel on the approved protocols and develop a recruiting strategy and study plan and timeline. Begin subject recruitment and testing.

4		ATD.			
<b>/</b>		$^{\prime\prime}$	$\Lambda$		•
4.	TTA.	11	$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$	CT	•

IVII ACT.
What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?
Nothing to report
What was the impact on other disciplines?
Nothing to report

What was the impact on technology transfer?

<ul> <li>iransfer of results to entitles in government or thaustry;</li> <li>instances where the research has led to the initiation of a start-up company; or</li> <li>adoption of new practices.</li> </ul>
Nothing to report
What was the impact on society beyond science and technology?
<ul> <li>improving public knowledge, attitudes, skills, and abilities;</li> <li>changing behavior, practices, decision making, policies (including regulatory policies), or social actions; or</li> <li>improving social, economic, civic, or environmental conditions.</li> </ul>
Nothing to Report
CHANGES/PROBLEMS:

**5.** 

Changes in approach and reasons for change

On June 7, 2016 the PI presented the protocol at an In-Progress Review to the JPC-5 at Fort Detrick. As a result of this meeting, CDMRP requested additional information with regard to the specifics of the proposed statement of work. The PI submitted a written response, including a revised statement of work addressing the concerns to Mr. Lance Nowell on June 21, 2016. Since this time, there have been several additional requests for information which Pitt provided either before or on the due date set my Mr. Lance Nowell. We are awaiting a response. As a result, there has been a delay (to date ~5 months) in initiating all research aims at Fort Bragg.

## Actual or anticipated problems or delays and actions or plans to resolve them

On June 7, 2016 the PI presented the protocol at an In-Progress Review to the JPC-5 at Fort Detrick. As a result of this meeting, CDMRP requested additional information with regard to the specifics of the proposed statement of work. The PI submitted a written response, including a revised statement of work addressing the concerns to Mr. Lance Nowell on June 21, 2016. Since this time, there have been several additional requests for information which Pitt provided either before on the due date set my Mr. Lance Nowell. We are awaiting a response. As a result, there has been a delay (to date ~5 months) in initiating all research aims at Fort Bragg. Once we have the approval to proceed with the research, we will identify if modifications are required with the Pitt IRB and USAMRMC HRPO and submit these changes. We will initiate subject recruiting and testing on research aims that do not require regulatory modification/approval. Research Phase 4 Aim 2 is a 12-month trial and at this point, will require an extension to the contract end date in order to complete it.

On July 21, 2016 Mr. Ray Bear (THOR3) notified UPitt of a policy change by the new Commander at 3<sup>rd</sup> Special Forces Group mandating ORT for all Operators, increasing participation to THOR3 and difficulty getting subjects for the study cohorts as a result of this change. Due to the mandated change, Mr Bear and his team at 3<sup>rd</sup> group indicated they would not have the time to support research effort as originally planned. Proposed solutions to the problem include identifying another USASOC unit at Fort Bragg to participate in Phase 3 Aim 1, possibly the Special Warfare Center and School (SWCS) candidates for Special Forces. This proposed change was submitted within the revised SOW and we are still awaiting a response.

Significant changes in use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals, biohazards, and/or select agents

Significant changes in use or care of human subjects				
Nothing to report				
Significant changes in use or care of vertebrate animals.				
Not applicable				
Significant changes in use of biohazards and/or select agents				
Not applicable				
6. PRODUCTS:				

• Publications, conference papers, and presentations

Journal publications.

ooks or other non-perio	odical, one-time publications.	
Nothing to report		
her publications, conf	erence papers, and presentations.	
her publications, conf	erence papers, and presentations.	
	erence papers, and presentations.	
Ther publications, confo	erence papers, and presentations.	
	erence papers, and presentations.	

	nologies or techniques
Noth	ing to report
Inve	ntions, patent applications, and/or licenses
N. 4	· ,
Noth	ing to report
	r Products
	mples include:
•	data or databases;
•	data or databases; biospecimen collections; audio or video products;
•	data or databases; biospecimen collections; audio or video products; software;
•	data or databases; biospecimen collections; audio or video products; software; models;
•	data or databases; biospecimen collections; audio or video products; software;
•	data or databases; biospecimen collections; audio or video products; software; models; educational aids or curricula;

Operator Readiness Report		

#### 7. PARTICIPANTS & OTHER COLLABORATING ORGANIZATIONS

What individuals have worked on the project?

Name: Kim Beals

Project Role: Principal Investigator

Nearest person month worked: 12

Contribution to Project: Write IRB and IRB resubmission, Lab move and

setup, Faculty Hiring, Data Use Agreement, In-Progress review, All planning of research aims

Name: John Abt

Project Role: Co-Investigator

Nearest person month worked: 9

Contribution to Project: Write and review IRB, Involved in laboratory

setup logistics, planning of research aims

Name: Karen Keenan Project Role: Co-Investigator

Nearest person month worked: 12

Contribution to Project: Write/review IRB and resubmission, Developing

new templates for database to store data variables collected, Data collection forms, Development of

**Operator Readiness Report** 

Name: Mita Lovalekar Project Role: Co-Investigator

Nearest person month worked: 12

Contribution to Project: Write and review IRB and IRB Submission,

Weekly meetings to discuss protocol development, TCONs with PHC, All planning of research aims

study design and statistics, Development of

USASOC wide survey

Name: Takashi Nagai Project Role: Co-Investigator

Nearest person month worked: 12

Contribution to Project: Protocol development, Review IRB, Planning

Research aims.

Name: Nick Heebner Project Role: Co-Investigator

Nearest person month worked: 9

Contribution to Project: IRB and resubmission review, Protocol

development, Lab setup,

Name: Scott Lephart
Project Role: Co-Investigator

Nearest person month worked: 9

Contribution to Project: Oversight on protocol development

Name: Andrew Simonson Project Role: Co-Investigator

Nearest person month worked: 3

Contribution to Project: Planning all research aims, Laboratory setup,

and operation.

Name: Meleesa Wohleber Project Role: Co-Investigator

Nearest person month worked: 3

Contribution to Project: Planning all research aims, Laboratory setup,

and operations.

Name: Meaghan Beck

Project Role: Research Coordinator

Nearest person month worked: 3

Contribution to Project Meaghan Beck resigned her position at UPitt

Dec. Assisted PI with IRB and with budget and

administrative reports.

Name: Rob Koronosky

Project Role: Research Coordinator

Nearest person month worked: 3

Contribution to Project Assisting the PI with budget and administrative

reports.

Name: Thida San-Adams
Project Role: Database Analyst

Nearest person month worked: 5

Contribution to Project: Formatted UPittMED database to collect

research data.

Name: Shawn Eagle

Project Role: Graduate Student Researcher

Nearest person month worked: 12

Contribution to Project: Write and review IRB and resubmission,

Weekly meetings to discuss protocol

modifications, remote laboratory move and

equipment set up and calibration.

Name: Erin Pletcher

Project Role: Graduate Student Researcher

Nearest person month worked: 12

Contribution to Project: Write and review IRB and resubmission,

Weekly meetings to discuss protocol

modifications, remote laboratory move and

equipment set up and calibration.

Has there been a change in the active other support of the PD/PI(s) or senior/key personnel since the last reporting period?

University of Kentucky informed Pitt that they would no longer be able to support their portion of the research work as per the original subcontract due to a change in the focus of their work. As such the University of Pittsburgh identified individuals at Pitt and requested approval to perform in-house the portion of work that would have been subcontracted to the UK from July 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017. This request was sent to Mr. Lance Nowell July 13, 2016. We are still waiting for a response/approval.

# What other organizations were involved as partners?

Provide the following information for each partnership:

Organization Name:

Location of Organization: (if foreign location list country)
Partner's contribution to the project (identify one or more)

- Financial support;
- In-kind support (e.g., partner makes software, computers, equipment, etc., available to project staff);
- Facilities (e.g., project staff use the partner's facilities for project activities);
- Collaboration (e.g., partner's staff work with project staff on the project);
- Personnel exchanges (e.g., project staff and/or partner's staff use each other's facilities, work at each other's site); and
- Other.

US Army Public Health Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010 Collaboration with Tyson Grier, Dr. Bruce Jones and MAJ Tanja Roy on the research aim study designs and development of the *USASOC Wide Physical Training and Injury Survey* used in Phase 4 Aim 1.

## 8. SPECIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

**COLLABORATIVE AWARDS:** 

**QUAD CHARTS:** 









Neuromuscular Research Laboratory
University of Pittsburgh

# USASOC Injury Prevention and Human Performance Research

Background: Since April 2012, we have tested USASOC Operators at Fort Bragg with the purpose of identifying specific biomechanical, musculoskeletal, physiological, nutrition and performance characteristics that may be suboptimal and/or lead to a higher risk of injury and decreased physical performance

#### Key Findings to Date:

- •Injury frequency- 18.9 injuries/100 subjects/year (76.9% preventable)
- Physical training was the most reported activity for preventable injuries.
- •THOR3- 70.7% participation (3.3  $\pm$  1.3 days for 12 months) (Award # W81XWH-11-2-0020)



#### **Study Aims**

*Phase 3 Aim 1*: To evaluate the THOR3 HP training program to modify injury mitigating and performance characteristics previously identified in Phases 1-2 of research.

Phase 4 Aim 1: To evaluate the THOR3 HP training program to mitigate musculoskeletal injuries USASOC-wide. Aim 2: To evaluate the THOR3 HP training program to improve injury mitigating musculoskeletal characteristics. Aim 3: To test changes in biomechanical, musculoskeletal, physiological, tactical, nutritional, performance and injury characteristics across the deployment of a USASOC Operator.

#### Deliverables:

- Validation of the THOR3 HP training program to mitigate musculoskeletal injury characteristics and optimize Operator readiness
- Increase the physical readiness of the Soldier by reducing the risk of musculoskeletal injury, optimizing performance, and ensuring a physically viable force for deployment
- Develop and validate an injury prevention and performance optimization program that is culturally specific and dynamically responsive to the unique tactical demands of USASOC

Appendices None